IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1242 OF 2005

Rajesh Gajanan Vaidya ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

Mr.Rajendra Shirodkar for Applicant.

Mr.K.V.Saste, A.P.P. for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

MARCH 4, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the record.

2. I see no reason to depart from the conclusion reached by the lower Court in rejecting the bail Application preferred by the Applicant. There are strong circumstances to indicate complicity of the Applicant in the commission of the crime. The argument that there is absolutely

no evidence to connect the Applicant and to assume that the prosecution case is genuine, is the matter, which will have to be considered after entire evidence has been led in the course of the trial. For the present, as mentioned earlier, there are strong circumstances to indicate complicity of the Applicant, for which reason, and having regard to the seriousness of the offence, not a case for bail. Rejected.

3. I am informed that the trial has already commenced and evidence of P.W.1 is in progress. The Trial Court is directed to ensure that the trial proceeds on day-to-day basis as far as possible and the case is finally disposed of by the end of May 2005.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.